UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,295	07/29/2003	Douglas Carl Bacon	132843	6494
John S. Beulick	7590 03/18/200	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teas	sdale LLP	JOSEPH, TONYA S		
Suite 2600 One Metropolita	an Square	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Louis, MO 6		3628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application I	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
			10/629,295		BACON ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			TONYA JOSI	EPH .	3628				
- Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply 	nication appe	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum signeriod for reply sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, care	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, I apply and will exeause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>10 Dec</i>	cember 2008	}					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 December 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
<i>'</i>		<i>'—</i>			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims		,	-,,					
-		application							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>10-27</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-4:	_						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requ	irement.					
Application	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а)∏ ассер	oted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dr	rawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🔲 🗆	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	二	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,295 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/10/2008 has been entered.

Status of Claims

Claims 10-27 have been previously examined. Claims 10 and 19 have been amended. No claims have been added. No claims have been cancelled. Thus, claims 10-27 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 13-14, 17-19, 22-23 and 26-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonyea et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0032109

A1 in view of Joao U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0016655 in further view of Uegaki U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0161533 A1 and Louie et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0054022 A1.

3. As per Claim 10, Gonyea teaches a client system (see para. 13 lines 1-6, para. 14 lines 1-5 and Fig. 1; a centralized database for storing information (see para. 13 lines 13-17 and Fig. 1); a server system configured to be coupled to said client system and said database said server system (see para. 13 lines 6-17, para. 19 lines 1-4 and Fig. 1) further configured to:

receive, at the database, component operational history data and component inspection data from a user for a pre-identified component (see para. 46 lines 6-8 and para. 31 lines 6-10, para. 21 lines 1-13 and para. 26 lines 8-10);

receive, at the database, cost comprising at least one of: component replacement part costs, component part repair costs, and vendor service costs, the cost are associated with the pre-identified component (see para. 22 lines 8-13 and para. 44); prompt a user to input a pre-determined component operational forecast into the database (see para. 26 lines 6-10 and Fig. 5);

analyze component maintenance information including component inspection data (see para. 27 lines 10-34; para. 31 lines 6-10 and para. 32-34) and at least one of component operational history data, customer expectation of contingency fees and service prices, replacement part costs, part repair costs, vendor service costs, and component operational forecast (see para. 27 lines 9-26); and automatically generate a financial report including at least one schedule

Art Unit: 3628

of component maintenance events and costs associated with each event based on the component maintenance information analysis (see para. 24 lines 12-20). Gonyea does not explicitly teach the method taught by Joao, receive at a database, a customer expectation of contingency fees and service prices (see para. 336-338 and 344). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Gonyea to include the teachings of Joao to communicate a user's request for service, as taught in Joao para. 336. Gonyea does not explicitly teach the system taught by Uegaki cost are determined using pre-stored costs related to the pre-identified component (see para. 13-14 and para. 49). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the systems of Gonyea and Joao to include the teachings of Uegaki to determine ranking levels for parts inventory and provide users with more part options for their estimate as taught by Uegaki para. 50. Gonyea does not explicitly teach the limitation taught by Louie determine whether the user input all information necessary to generate a financial present an error message to the user if it is determined that not all information necessary to generate a financial report was input (see para. 110). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention

Page 4

4. As per Claim 13, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the system of claim 10 as described above. Gonyea further teaches, said server system is configured to compute a schedule for maintenance events based on at

to modify the systems of Gonyea, Joao and Uegeki to include the teachings of Louie to

accurately print information related to a deal or transaction.

least one of estimated life of replacement parts, estimated life of repaired parts, component operational history, component operational forecast and a predetermined maintenance event interval (see para. 27 lines 9-16 and para. 31).

- 5. As per Claim 14, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the system of claim 13 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said server system is configured to determine a part repair cycle (see para. 27 lines 27-37).
- 6. As per Claim 17, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the system of claim 10 as described above. Gonyea further teaches remove selected parts from an inventory (see para. 55 lines 2-7 and para. 56 lines 5-9); repair the component using the selected parts (see para. 57 lines 1-5); automatically orders replacement parts for purchase (see para. 57 lines 11-12) and replenish the inventory using the replacement parts (see para. 57 lines 12-14). Gonyea does not explicitly teach said server system is configured to: automatically compute a projected rotation of component parts through a fleet of components. However, Gonyea discloses an automatic computation of a projected rotation of component parts through a component (see para. 55, 56 and 57). Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Gonyea to include automatically compute a projected rotation of component parts through a fleet of components in order to accomplish the desired effect for multiple components.
- 7. As per Claim 18, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the system of claim 10 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said server system is configured to automatically compute the financial charges accrued during the

Art Unit: 3628

maintenance event using the component replacement part costs, the component part repair costs, and the vendor service costs associated with the maintenance event (see para. 24 lines 12-20 and para. 22 lines 8-13).

8. As per Claim 19, Gonyea teaches, a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for maintaining at least one component, said program comprising a code segment that receives, at a database, component operational history data and component inspection data from a user for a pre-identified component and (see para. 60 and para. 46 lines 6-8 and para. 31 lines 6-10): receive, at the database, cost comprising at least one of: component replacement part costs, component part repair costs, and vendor service costs, the cost are associated with the pre-identified component (see para. 22 lines 8-13 and para. 44); prompts a user to input a pre-determined component operational forecast into the database (see para. 26 lines 6-10 and Fig. 5); analyzes component maintenance information including component inspection data (see para. 27 lines 10-34; para. 31 lines 6-10 and para. 32-34) and at least one of

analyzes component maintenance information including component inspection data (see para. 27 lines 10-34; para. 31 lines 6-10 and para. 32-34) and at least one of component operational history data, replacement part costs, part repair costs, vendor service costs, and component operational forecast (see para. 27 lines 9-26); automatically generates a financial report including at least one schedule of component maintenance events and costs associated with each event based on the component maintenance information analysis (see para. 60 and para. 24 lines 12-20). Gonyea does not explicitly teach the method taught by Joao, receive at a database, a customer expectation of contingency fees and service prices (see para. 336-338 and 344). It

Art Unit: 3628

would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Gonyea to include the teachings of Joao to communicate a user's request for service, as taught in Joao para. 336. Gonyea does not explicitly teach the system taught by Uegaki cost are determined using pre-stored costs related to the pre-identified component (see para. 13-14 and para. 49). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Gonyea and Joao to include the teachings of Uegaki to determine ranking levels for parts inventory and provide users with more part options for their estimate as taught by Uegaki para. 50. Gonyea does not explicitly teach the limitation taught by Louie determine whether the user input all information necessary to generate a financial present an error message to the user if it is determined that not all information necessary to, generate a financial report was input (see para, 110). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the methods of Gonyea, Joao and Uegeki to include the teachings of Louie to accurately print information related to a deal or transaction.

Page 7

9. As per Claim 22, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the computer readable medium of claim 19 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said program comprising a code segment that computes a schedule for maintenance events based on at least one of estimated life of replacement parts, estimated life of repaired parts, component operational history, component operational forecast and predetermined maintenance event interval (see para. 60 and para. 27 lines 9-16 and para. 31).

Art Unit: 3628

10. As per Claim 23, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the computer readable medium of claim 22 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said program comprising a code segment that determines a part repair cycle (see para. 60 and para. 27 lines 27-37).

Page 8

- 11. As per Claim 26, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the computer readable medium of claim 19. Gonyea further teaches said program comprising a code segment that (see para. 60); removes selected parts from an inventory (see para. 55 lines 2-7 and para. 56 lines 5-9); repairs the component using the selected parts (see para. 57 lines 1-5); automatically orders replacement parts for purchase (see para. 57 lines 11-12); and replenishes the inventory using the replacement parts (see para. 57 lines 12-14). Gonyea does not explicitly teach said server system is configured to: automatically compute a projected rotation of component parts through a fleet of components. However, Gonyea discloses an automatic computation of a projected rotation of component parts through a component (see para. 55, 56 and 57). Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Gonyea to include automatically compute a projected rotation of component parts through a fleet of components in order to accomplish the desired effect for multiple components.
- 12. As per Claim 27, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the computer readable medium of claim 19 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said server system is configured to automatically compute the financial charges accrued during the maintenance event using the component replacement part costs, the

Art Unit: 3628

component part repair costs, and the vendor service costs associated with the maintenance event (see para. 60 and para. 24 lines 12-20 and para. 22 lines 8-13).

Page 9

- 13. Claims 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonyea et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0032109 A1 in view Joao U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0016655 in further view of Uegaki U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0161533 A1, Louie et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/20059269 A1.
- 14. As per Claim 11, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the system of claim 10 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said server system is configured to receive component replacement part costs, component part repair costs, and vendor service costs associated with the identified component from an on-line catalog selected based on the component identification (see para. 17 lines 4-7; para. 19 lines 4-9; para. 22 lines 3-13 and para. 44, Examiner is interpreting a listed item on the parts list as an identified component. Examiner is further interpreting an online database having lists and files relating to parts list detail as an online catalog). Gonyea does not explicitly teach the system taught by McQuown, the online catalog comprising pre-stored data for a plurality of pre-identified components (see para. 44 and 46). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the systems of Gonyea, Joao and Uegaki to include the teachings of McQuown to allow for direct parts ordering for inventory in a specific repair, as taught by McQuown para. 46.

Page 10

Art Unit: 3628

Tsunoda et al. JP 2002149861.

15. As per Claim 20, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the computer readable medium of claim 19 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said program comprising a code segment that receives component replacement part costs, component part repair costs, and vendor service costs associated with the identified component from an on-line catalog selected based on the component identification (see para. 60 and see para. 17 lines 4-7; para.19 lines 4-9; para. 22 lines 3-13 and para. 44, Examiner is interpreting a listed item on the parts list as an identified component.. Examiner is further interpreting an online database having lists and files relating to parts list detail as an online catalog). Gonyea does not explicitly teach the system taught by McQuown, the online catalog comprising pre-stored data for a plurality of pre-identified components (see para. 44 and 46). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the systems of Gonyea, Joao and Uegaki to include the teachings of McQuown to allow for direct parts ordering for inventory in a specific repair, as taught by McQuown para. 46. 16. Claims 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Gonyea et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0032109 A1 in view of in view of Joao U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0016655 in further view of Uegaki U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0161533, Louie et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0054022 A1, Herz et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0014868 A1 and

17. As per Claim 12, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the system of claim 10 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said server

system is configured to: determine component maintenance event contingency fees (see para. 24 lines 12-20, Examiner is interpreting service costs as contingency fees); Gonyea does not explicitly teach, determine customer cost discount level for replacement parts and vendor fees. Herz teaches determine customer cost discount level for vendor fees (see para. 279 lines 1-10).

Tsunoda teaches discloses determine customer cost discount level for replacement parts (see the Solution of Tsunoda lines 15-18). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the systems of Gonyea, Joao and Uegaki to include determining customer cost discount level for replacement parts and vendor fees in order to provide an incentive to a client to use the services of a vendor.

18. As per Claim 21, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Louie teaches the computer readable medium of claim 19 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said program comprising a code segment that: determines component maintenance event contingency fees (see para. 60 and para. 24 lines 12-20; Examiner is interpreting service costs as contingency fees); Gonyea does not explicitly teach, determine customer cost discount level for replacement parts and vendor fees. Herz teaches determine customer cost discount level for vendor fees (see para. 279 lines 1-10). Tsunoda teaches discloses determine customer cost discount level for replacement parts (see the Solution of Tsunoda lines 15-18). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the systems of Gonyea, Joao and Uegaki to include determining customer cost discount

level for replacement parts and vendor fees in order to provide an incentive to a client to use the services of a vendor.

- 19. Claims 15 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Gonyea et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0032109 A1 in view of in view of Joao U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0016655 in further view of Uegaki U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0161533, Louie et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0054022 A1 and Woodmansee U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0084019 A1.
- 20. As per Claims 15 and 24, Gonyea teaches the system of claim 10 as described above. Gonyea further teaches an age of a plurality of parts installed in a component (see para. 20 lines 6-9 and lines 3-5) an age of the component parts in inventory (see para. 27 lines 9-14, Examiner is interpreting a part that has been removed from a component, repaired and then returned to inventory as having a known age). Gonyea teaches determines, from the schedule of maintenance events, the age of each of the plurality of installed parts and the age of each of the plurality of inventory parts at each maintenance event (see para. 27 lines 9-22 and para. 57 lines 4-9); and displays an identification of each part whose age at each scheduled maintenance event exceeds a pre-determined age (see para. 27 lines 27-37 and para. 28 lines 13-21). Gonyea does not explicitly teach the limitation taught by Woodmansee prompt a user to input an age of a plurality of parts installed in a component and prompt a user to input an age of the component parts in inventory (see para. 28 and Fig. 2-5). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the

systems of Gonyea, Joao and Uegaki to the teachings of Woodmansee to keep an accurate record of costs and expenditures.

- 21. Claims 16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Gonyea et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0032109 A1 in view of in view of Joao U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0016655 in further view of Uegaki U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0161533, Louie et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0054022 A1, Woodmansee U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0084019 A1 and Official Notice.
- 22. As per Claim 16, Gonyea in view of Joao in further view of Uegaki and Woodmansee teaches the system of claim 15 as described above. Gonyea further teaches access a predetermined on-line catalog, the catalog including new parts costs and parts repair costs and part expected life (see para. 17 lines 4-7 and para. 19 lines 5-9, para. 22 lines 8-13; para. 24 lines 6-10; para. 27 lines 5-7 and para. 28 lines 5-12, Examiner is interpreting the accessing of an online catalog and corresponding parts information to be based on the exact maintenance event as described in para. 28 lines 6-10), Gonyea does not explicitly teach accessing a predetermined on-line catalog using the frame size and combustion type.

However, these differences are only found to be non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The accessing of the identified data would be the same regardless of the type of identification used to find it. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms

Art Unit: 3628

of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F. 2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404, (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Page 14

Gonyea further teaches recommend an inspection interval and an estimate of remaining parts life (see para. 35 lines 9-10 and para. 27 lines 4-7, Examiner is interpreting the recommendation to be based on contract information as described in para. 31 lines 6-10) Gonyea does not explicitly teach recommending an inspection interval and an estimate of remaining parts life based on the inputted gas component frame size and combustion type.

However, these differences are only found to be non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The recommended inspection interval and the estimate of remaining parts life would be the same regardless of the type of identification used to find the already computed information. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F. 2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404, (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

23. As per Claim 25, Gonyea in view Uegaki in further view of Woodmansee teaches the computer readable medium of claim 24 as described above. Gonyea further teaches said program comprising a code segment (see para. 60): access a predetermined online catalog, the catalog including new parts costs and parts repair costs and part expected life (see para. 17 lines 4-7 and para. 19 lines 5-9, para. 22 lines 8-13; para. 24 lines 6-10; para. 27 lines 5-7 and para. 28 lines 5-12, Examiner is interpreting the accessing of an online catalog and corresponding parts information to be based on the

Art Unit: 3628

exact maintenance event as described in para. 28 lines 6-10), Gonyea does not explicitly teach accessing a predetermined on-line catalog using the frame size and combustion type.

However, these differences are only found to be non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The accessing of the identified data would be the same regardless of the type of identification used to find it. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F. 2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404, (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Gonyea further teaches recommend an inspection interval and an estimate of remaining parts life (see para. 35 lines 9-10 and para. 27 lines 4-7, Examiner is interpreting the recommendation to be based on contract information as described in para. 31 lines 6-10) Gonyea does not explicitly teach recommending an inspection interval and an estimate of remaining parts life based on the inputted gas component frame size and combustion type.

However, these differences are only found to be non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The recommended inspection interval and the estimate of remaining parts life would be the same regardless of the type of identification used to find the already computed information. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F. 2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404, (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,295 Page 16

Art Unit: 3628

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TONYA JOSEPH whose telephone number is (571)270-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30am-5:00pm First Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Hayes can be reached on 571 272 0847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tonya Joseph Examiner Art Unit 3628

/John W Hayes/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628